February 2022 | As a part of its ARP Toolkit, the Southern Economic Advance Project publishes its initial report for the newly released ARP Local Funds Spending Tracker.
BACKGROUND

As part of the American Rescue Plan (ARP), the State and Local Fiscal Recovery Fund (SLFRF) delivers $130 billion in direct support to every community in the US. The scale of the SLFRF program is unprecedented, and local governments have broad discretion in how to use these funds, along with an extended timeline for spending (through 12/31/26).

Since mid-2021, the Southern Economic Advancement Project (SEAP) has encouraged local leaders to choose strategic recovery investments that address the highest priority needs and help residents and neighborhoods most affected by the pandemic. Our ARP Toolkit provides resources and information to local governments and advocates so they can make the most of their SLFRF funds. The goal is to help residents and local governments work together to build SLFRF spending plans that incorporate community input and achieve a more sustainable, equitable recovery.

NOTABLE TOOLS, DATA & RESOURCES

New SEAP Dashboard Shows Real-Time Info on Cities’ SLFRF Spending
As of year-end 2021, local leaders setting priorities for local recovery funds is still heavily in progress. Many communities have not allocated most of their local recovery funds. In these places, local government leaders and residents are collecting input on needs and priorities and identifying investments that will have the most substantial impact. SEAP’s new SLFRF Spending Dashboard offers a first look at the status of city-level SLFRF spending in more than 100 cities across several states.

The SLFRF Spending Dashboard also provides data on spending choices for cities where leaders have already made SLFRF decisions. The dashboard identifies and groups planned SLFRF spending into 12 categories (public health, affordable housing, business support, general government, etc.). Our interactive tool allows users to view this information at the city level and statewide.

Most communities (NEUs with a population of less than 250,000) are not required to report on their SLFRF spending until April 2022. Even then, their reports will only reflect actual expenses to date, not go-forward plans. Use this new Spending Dashboard to find real-time SLFRF plans, assess the status of local decision-making, and see trends in the type of recovery spending underway.
Most spending decisions haven’t happened yet.
- Roughly half of the WA cities (53%) have made no spending decisions yet.
- Across all WA cities, there are spending plans approved for one-third (33%) of funds.
- These results indicate a significant opportunity for community engagement to help set local spending priorities and advocate for strategic and equitable SLFRF investments.

Most spending decisions so far are limited to the first half of funds.
- Of the 19 cities with some SLFRF decisions made, 14 cities have plans that are limited to some or all of the first half of funds.
- Five cities, including Seattle, have made plans for most or all of their total funding.
- Seattle has spending plans for 81% of its total SLFRF allocation.

Larger cities are further along in their spending decisions.
- Our initial dataset includes one city (Seattle) with a population greater than 250,000. As seen elsewhere, this larger city has more developed SLFRF spending plans than is seen generally.
- In Seattle, plans are in place for 81% of the total allocation of $239 million.
- When Seattle is excluded, the data indicates that the 39 remaining smaller cities are further behind in their spending plans. In these smaller communities, there are spending plans in place for only 14% of their total $611 million in recovery funds.
WASHINGTON

INITIAL RESULTS BY STATE

Washington Results on Type of SLFRF Spending Planned To Date
Across the Washington dataset, spending plans to date show funding in a range of categories. The highest priorities for decisions to date include Housing Support, Job Training, and Small Business/Economic Assistance.

WA SLFRF Spending Decisions To Date By Category (n=40)

Due to the significantly larger SLFRF allocation for Seattle, and the fact that Seattle has made most of its spending decisions, it is useful to view the data for other WA cities separately from the data for Seattle. Please see below for spending differentiated by city population.

WA SLFRF Spending Decisions in Large Cities/Seattle (n=1)
WA SLFRF Spending Decisions in Smaller Cities (n=39)

Other WA Findings:

- **Community outreach on SLFRF spending is not robust.**
  - We found clear examples of SLFRF engagement in 11 of 40 cities.

- **Identification of Equity Strategy is not common.**
  - An explicit equity strategy for SLFRF spending decisions was identified in only 5 of 40 cities.
  - In some cases, equity goals may be part of a city’s implementation plan even if this aspect is not made explicit in the context of the initial spending decision.

For more information or to include data from your community, contact Morgan Smith at SouthStrong@rooseveltinstitute.org.