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AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN IN ACTION

August 2022 | As a part of its American Rescue Plan (ARP) Toolkit, the Southern Economic Advancement Project publishes its quarterly report for its ARP Local Funds Spending Tracker, highlighting State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds spending decisions currently underway by local leaders in Alabama, Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina and Washington.
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BACKGROUND

As part of the American Rescue Plan (ARP), the State and Local Fiscal Recovery Fund (SLFRF) is delivering $130 billion in direct support across every community in the U.S. The scope and scale of the SLFRF program are unprecedented, and local governments have broad discretion in how to spend these funds, as well as an extended spending timeline (through 12/31/2026).

Since mid-2021, the Southern Economic Advancement Project (SEAP) has been working to encourage local leaders to choose strategic recovery investments that address the highest priority needs and help residents and neighborhoods most affected by the pandemic. SEAP's ARP Toolkit provides resources and information to assist local governments and advocates in making the most of their SLFRF funds. SEAP ARP Assistance team’s goal is to help residents and local governments work together to build SLFRF spending plans that incorporate community input and achieve a more sustainable, equitable recovery.

NOTABLE TOOLS, DATA & RESOURCES

ARP Local Funds Tracker Shows Real-Time Info on Cities' SLFRF Spending
The data through June 30, 2022, illustrates that the work to set priorities for local recovery funds has accelerated but is still very much in progress. Leaders have yet to allocate significant portions of local recovery funds in many communities. In these places, local government leaders and residents are working to collect input on needs and priorities and to identify investments that will have the most impact. SEAP's ARP Local Funds Spending Tracker offers insight into the status of over 172 cities' SLFRF spending across several Southern states.

The tracker also provides data on specific SLFRF spending choices for those cities that have made some decisions. It groups SLFRF spending into 13 categories: public health, affordable housing, business support, and general government. Our interactive tool allows viewers to access this information at the city or state level.

Most communities (such as Non-entitlement Units of Local Government (NEUs) with a population of less than 250,000) were not required to report their SLFRF spending until April 2022. These annual reports will only reflect actual expenses to date, not forward-looking plans. Every month, the SEAP tracker identifies SLFRF plans, assesses the status of local decision-making and visualizes trends in the type of recovery spending underway.

Notes:
Q1-2022 is defined as January – March 2022.
Q2-2022 is defined as April – June of 2022.
SEAP’S ARP LOCAL FUNDS TRACKER CAPTURES FOUR TYPES OF INFORMATION:

Status of SLFRF spending decisions:
- No decisions have been made to date.
- Plans approved for some of the first halves of funds.
- Plans for most/all of the first half of funds.
- Plans for most/all of all funds (includes the second half of funds).

Type of spending where some decisions have been made:
- Dollars associated with decisions are grouped by category.
- Categories are aligned with US Treasury reporting.

Was there evidence of public engagement as part of the SLFRF spending decision? If yes, what type?

Was there an equity strategy evident in SLFRF spending decisions to date?
Dollars associated with SLFRF spending decisions are grouped into the following 12 categories:

- COVID-19 Mitigation
- Public Health
- Capital Expenses
- Household Assistance
- Jobs Training
- Small Business/Non-Profit Assistance
- Healthy Childhood
- Violence Intervention Programs
- Infrastructure – Water, Sewer, Stormwater
- Infrastructure – Broadband
- Premium Pay
- Revenue Replacement/General Gov’t

This review focuses on cities in five states (AL, GA, MS, NC, and WA). SEAP reviews SLFRF spending in these states for cities with populations greater than 20,000 (roughly 200 cities). The current dashboard presents SLFRF information for 172 cities. Stakeholders can use this information to learn about the status of spending plans in specific communities and to identify emerging trends in local spending choices. The objective is to provide insight into spending intentions for SLFRF as decisions unfold and to capture a broad sense of investment priorities across a range of communities.

For more information or to include data from your community, please contact Maria Filippelli at southstrong@rooseveltinstitute.org.
THIRD-QUARTER RESULTS: GEORGIA

Our data currently covers 46 cities in Georgia. These cities have a population of more than 20,000 and collectively will receive $793 million in SLFRF funds. Our Georgia dataset does not include combined city/county governments since these jurisdictions have different spending responsibilities than traditional cities. Included in this report are key findings across the state.

Results on Status of SLFRF Decision Making in GA Cities:

Spending decisions in GA are accelerating.

- Most cities (67%) have now made some type of spending decision.
- Across the 46 Georgia cities, spending plans are approved for roughly half (51%) of funds. However, much of this is attributed to Atlanta (see graph: GA SLFRF Spending Decisions in Large Cities).
- The remaining 45 cities still have 62% of their recovery funds ($386 million) unprogrammed when Atlanta is excluded. These results indicate that there is still a significant opportunity for community engagement to help set local spending priorities and advocate for equitable SLFRF investments.

Most cities’ spending decisions are limited to their first half of funds.

- Of the 31 cities with some SLFRF decisions, 20 cities have plans limited to the first half of funds.
- Only 11 Georgia cities have plans for most or all of their total allocation.

Large cities are further along in their spending decisions.

- Our dataset includes one Georgia city (Atlanta) with a population of more than 250,000. Similar to other states, this large city has more developed SLFRF spending plans than smaller GA cities.
- In Atlanta, plans are in place for 100% of the total allocation of $171 million. Notably, Atlanta’s spending plan includes an allocation of $123 million for Revenue Replacement/General Government.
- When Atlanta is excluded, the results indicate that the 45 smaller cities are further behind in their spending plans. Roughly one-third (38%) of the total $622 million in SLFRF for these smaller communities is allocated in spending plans.

Georgia Results on Type of SLFRF Spending Planned To Date

Across the Georgia dataset, spending plans to date show a high priority on Revenue Replacement/General Gov’t. This category alone represents 50% of planned spending. Other top spending categories are Water/Sewer Infrastructure, Capital Expenses, and Small Business/Economic Assistance.
Due to the significantly larger SLFRF allocation for Atlanta, and the fact that Atlanta has made 100% of its spending decisions, it is useful to view the data for other Georgia cities separately from the data for Atlanta. See below for spending differentiated by city population.

**GA SLFRF Spending Decisions in Large Cities (Atlanta Only)**

**GA SLFRF Spending Decisions in Smaller Cities (45 cities represented)**
Other GA Findings:

Community outreach on SLFRF spending is not robust.
We found clear examples of SLFRF community engagement in only 11 out of 46 cities. Of the 11 cities that undertook community engagement, 7 used more than one outreach method.

Evidence of equity-focused spending is increasing in GA.
Equity-focus spending is indicated by plans within a HUD Qualified Census Tract (QCT) or through clear reference to equity goals in spending decision materials or discussions. These criteria were identified in 9 out of 30 cities with at least some spending decisions.

For more information or to include data from your community, please contact Maria Filippelli at SouthStrong@rooseveltinstitute.org.